

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,929	11/24/2003	Michael Warmers	L&L-I0224	5237	
27346 LERNER GRI	7590 02/03/200 EENBERG STEMER L	EXAMINER			
FOR INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
P.O. BOX 248 HOLLYWOO	D. FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2419		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,929	WARMERS, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BO HUI A. ZHU	2419		

	BO HUI A. ZHU	2419						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	italia da constanti di constant	51 TC 4 1.57 (u).						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I     They raise new issues that would require further contains the second secon	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> <li>_ appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec		he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment (	F10L-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be js follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
_ <del>_</del>								
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that it would not have been obvoius to use two different Bluerto haddresses to identify a primary terminal because the Bluetooth standard specifically requires using only one addresses and because the Bluetooth standard does not support using two addresses. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The admitted prior and discloses a system in which a network addresses for support of one single network. Bisceglia discloses a system in which a network addresses for support of multiple networks, one address for one network. And it is functionally achievable to have multiple Bluetooth addresses on one single device, e.g., combining multiple Bluetooth devices each with one Bluetooth device into one single device. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do because it would allow one single device to upport multiple networks. If it wasn't possible as suggested by Applicant, it would simply be functionally impossible for Applicant's invention to operate property. Although it might be true that the Bluetooth standard itself does not motivate the use of multiple Bluetooth device, it does not eliminate such use of multiple addresses for connection idnetification. It is also noted that Bluetooth is a trademark and it is improper to use trademarks or trade names in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a partular material product, the value of a trademark would be lost to the extent that it became descriptive of a product, rather than used as an identification of a source or origin of a modular.